

## **Lincoln Douglas Debate or Public Forum Debate**

**TASK:** You may choose to participate in either a Lincoln-Douglas Debate (one person versus one person; primarily philosophical) or Public Forum Debate (two person team versus two person team; primarily pragmatic). Ms. Maxson will hand out an information packet on each—including the format.

The topics will be announced in class, set by National Speech and Debate Association (NSDA	
Lincoln Douglas Topic:	
Public Forum Debate Topic:	

You may not necessarily agree with the side of the issue you have been assigned. Arguing a different point of view allows you to more educated position in which to affirm your belief.

Both styles of debate require constructive arguments, cross examination, and rebuttal.

## ASSESSMENT:

We will use the GGSA judging ballot criteria:

- analysis
- evidence
- reasoning
- cross examination
- rebuttal
- delivery
- courtesy



## <u>Ideas for Cross Examination Questions</u>

•	Requests for Clarification: You said, but I don't know what you mean by that. Please clarify. Do you mean by, or?
•	Requests for Argument. You claimed Why do you think that is true? Or: I don't see any argument for, and I think you need to give an argument for it. Or What support do you have for the truth of your claim that?
•	Objections: You said However, we think that is problematic. Here's my objection to Or: here is my objection to your argument for What do you say in response to this? Or: How is (reason #n) relevant to our topic here? Or: Aren't there other ways to achieve what you want in (reason #n) without having to accept your side of the argument? Reason [#n] seems to suffer from weakness (or problem; how would you defend it from that? Etc.
•	Suggestion of parallels. You claim p. P (or your argument for p) reminds me of so-and-so's claim that q (or his argument for q). Are the two really similar? Does comparing p to q help illuminate p, or is it just misleading?